

**From:** Jim Deardorff <deardorj@proaxis.com>  
**Date:** February 7, 2005 7:58:41 AM PST  
**To:** Plejarens\_are\_real\_2005@yahoogroups.com,  
Plejarens\_are\_real\_2005@yahoogroups.com, SKEPTICMAG@aol.com, Kramer  
<kramer@randi.org>, derek@iigwest.com, Vaughn Rees  
<Vaughn@cfiwest.org>, James Underdown <jim@cfiwest.org>  
**Subject:** Re: [Plejarens\_are\_real\_2005] Michael Horn and List

At 01:43 \_i 7/2/2005, ike forty-two wrote:

**Hello PAR people,**

**> Der Beobachter wrote:**  
**>> Have you seen this already?**  
**>>**  
**>> <http://www.iigwest.com/ike42report.htm>**

**As some of you may recall: last spring, Michael Horn and I debated a few points here and I decided that I needed to do some research to solidify my position, so I did. That report is the result.**

**Hello Ike42,**

**A while back I wrote you a short note to which you never responded. It went like this:**

**Have you ever looked into that tree-disappearing event?**

**ike42 had written:**

**<<I started getting suspicious when I heard some stories that sounded contrived. For instance, it is said that the aliens "eliminated" a tree that appears prominently in one set of photos because they didn't want people to be able to prove the size of the UFO that is next to the tree in the photos. Another problem was that... >>**

**Why is it a problem if advanced ETs would utilize a bit of their advanced technology now and then, in order to provide a crutch for negative skeptics to lean on? Are you one of those who think mankind is the Crown of Creation? Or, might not ETs/aliens who could be up to a billion years more advanced than us also be smarter?**

**Regards,  
Jim**